

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ERCE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/650,625	08/28/2003	Ullrich U. Hetzler	CU-3325 VE	7528	
21788	7590 01/21/2005		EXAM	INER	
PATENT & TRADEMARK DOCKET CLERK			EASTHOM, KARL D		
RYNDAK &	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
30 NORTH LASALLE STREET SUITE 2630 CHICAGO, IL 60602			2832		
			DATE MAIL ED: 01/21/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

v. "	Application No. Applicant(s)						
	10/650,625	HETZLER, ULLRICH U.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Karl D Easthom	2832					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 №</u> 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise 1. 	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-19 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-13 is/are withdrawn 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 14-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	n from consideration.						
,	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/650,625 Page 2

Art Unit: 2832

1. The oath is objected to because it incorrectly lists describes foreign applications that described as filed within 12 months of the filing date of this application, but the dates of filing indicate otherwise. Earlier indication of receipt of foreign documents appears to have been mistaken. Further, please note that if applicant filed foreign documents that are now prior art since there is no continuity, the Examiner would consider same material to this prosecution.

For example, 35 USC 102 (d) specifies A person shall be entitled to a patent unless – (d) the invention was first patented or caused to be patented, or was the subject of an inventor's certificate, by the applicant or his legal representatives or assigns in a foreign country prior to the date of the application for patent in this country on an application for patent or inventor's certificate filed more than twelve months before the filing of the application in the United States.

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-5 and 14-17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicant introduces "oppositely disposed ends" and later recites "the opposite ends thereof" and then later recites "said oppositely disposed ends" so that it is not clear if the "opposite ends" are the same as the "oppositely disposed ends" or not.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/650,625

Art Unit: 2832

Page 3

- 5. Claims 1-5, 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gerber et al. (6148502) Gerber discloses the claimed invention at Figs. 1 and 2, with metal piece 16, 20 of 14 mils at col. 3, which is greater than 20um, with electroplated coatings 22, 24. The coatings 22, 24 extend upwards from metal piece 16, 20 as seen at Fig. 2. made up of resistor alloy Ni/Cr, and contacts. For claim 2, a 6mohm resistor at col. 3, lines 45-65 is "approximately" 5mohms where the term is broad. For claim 3, the substrate is either side 18 which is turned away from the connection contacts on the other side. For claim 4, see col. 3, noted above. For claim 5, see col. 4, lines 64-68. For claim 16, the 60 square resistor at Fig. 27 with 2mohms per square, see col. 5, meets the claim. For claim 17, the sides extend in a downward direction parallel to an end. For claim 15, see col. 3, lines 15-31.
- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gerber et al. '502 in view of Robbins, or Helgleand '781. Gerber discloses the claimed invention except for saw cutting. Applicants admit it is known to cut by saws. Gerber discloses at col. 3, lines 15-31, cutting by any method, including lasers and cutting in general. It is assumed here that the method of cutting creates a distinct cutting edge. Similar remarks apply to Robbins, disclosing at col. 4, lines 5-15, cutting by either saw or laser. Helgeland discloses cutting by a saw at col.

Application/Control Number: 10/650,625

Art Unit: 2832

2, lines 63-72 as a typical manner of cutting. For reasons noted, it would have been obvious to employ any known method of cutting in order to obtain the desired size

Page 4

- 8. Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gerber et al. '502 in view of Smejkal '329, Robbins, or Helgleand '781, further in view of Szwarc et al. Gerber with Robbins or Helgleand discloses as noted above the claimed invention except for cutting of the connection contacts. Smejkal discloses cutting in general at col. 4, lines 5-17, and also discloses cutting by many methods such as by lasers, etching, or grinding. For claim 18, applicants admit it is known to cut by saws. Thus, where Smejkal discloses cutting by any method, including the etching employed by Gerber, it would have been obvious to employ any known method of cutting in order to obtain the desired size. It is assumed here that the method of cutting creates a distinct cutting edge. As to the connection contacts, Szwarc discloses cutting the whole device, see Fig. 4, including connection contacts 16, 18, in order to aid in trimming of the device or to cut it to its desired size after attaching the connection contacts, having plated ends like that of Gerber, so that such a cut would have been obvious.
- 9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 2832

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 10. Applicant's arguments filed 11/18/2004 with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl D Easthom whose telephone number is (571) 272-1989. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 5:30AM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin Enad can be reached on (571) 272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Karl D Easthom
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2832

KDE